

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,266	02/20/2004		Mark E. Kirby	1051.10	2265
21901	7590	06/27/2006		EXAMINER	
SMITH HO	•		SPISICH, MARK		
180 PINE AVENUE NORTH OLDSMAR, FL 34677				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1744	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		the state of the s					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Andieus Communication	10/708,266	KIRBY, MARK E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Spisich	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet witi	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
,	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2004.		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/708,266 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "Concavity" (claim 3, line 4) should be – convexity – and "concavities" (claim 3, line 4) should be – convexities --. Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denney (USP Des446895) in view of Galvan Garza (USP 5,836,034). The patent to Denney discloses a (sponge) mop head having a generally parallelepiped structure and further wherein there are a plurality of longitudinally extending projections formed in the bottom wall thereof (see the figures). The patent to Denney discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the projecting portions being in the form of convexities. The recitation of the intended use of the sponge fails to define over the structure of the prior art. The patent to Galvan Garza discloses a sponge (4) with a plurality of projecting ridges (6) with rounded tips (5) (column 2, line 1) (eg, figure 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the projecting

Application/Control Number: 10/708,266

Art Unit: 1744

portions of Denney as such so that the sponge would more smoothly move across a floor surface. The reference in claim 2 to the depth of the concavity is noted; however, references to the work or article to be cleaned do not form part of the claimed device. Any sponge disclosed as a mop head would be "adapted" to be attached to the end of a handle.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boggs (USP 5,640,737). The prior art discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the particular sponge material. The patent to Boggs discloses a cleaning sponge of reticulated polyester with a density of .7 to 6 pounds per cubic foot (column 2, lines 33-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the sponge of Denney as such as it is shown to be an art-recognized equivalent foam material.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denney (USP D446,616) in view of Galvan Garza (USP 5,836,034). The patent to Denney discloses a sponge with a plurality of curvilinear projection on a bottom wall thereof and fails only to disclose the projection having a convexity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the device of Denney in view of Galvan Garza for the same reason set forth above.
- 6. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Boggs (USP 5,640,737). The use of the particular foam material of claims 8 and 9 is taught by Boggs and it

Art Unit: 1744

would have been obvious to have modified the device of Denney for the reason set forth above.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Bornemann shows a sponge with a plurality of convexities.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,266 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Spisich
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

MS